IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re:) Case No. 08-12108
JOSEPH J. O'HARA) Chapter 7
Debtor.))
CLARE W. BRONFMAN) Adversary Proceeding No. 09-90055-
SARA R. BRONFMAN,)
Plaintiffs,)
v.)
JOSEPH J. O'HARA,)
Defendant.)
)
DOUGLAS J. WOLINSKY,)
CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE,)
Intervenor.)

MOTION TO INTERVENE

Douglas J. Wolinsky, the Chapter 7 Trustee (the "Trustee") moves to intervene in the above-captioned case as of right pursuant to Rule 24(b)(1)(B) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

MEMORANDUM

- 1. This case was commenced by filing of a voluntary petition under Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code¹ on June 30, 2008.
- 2. On August 18, 2008, the Trustee was appointed successor trustee, and continues to serve in that capacity.

¹ References to the Bankruptcy Code are references to the United States Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. §101 et seq.

Document Page 2 of 2

3. Fed.R.Civ.P 24(b)(1)(B) provides parties such as the Trustee with the opportunity

to intervene in litigation if the party has a claim or defense that shares with the main action a

common question of law or fact. For the reasons stated below, the Trustee should be permitted

to intervene in this action.

4. This Motion is timely, as this case was commenced on May 25, 2009, less than

one week ago, and no responsive pleadings have been filed.

5. The Trustee and the plaintiffs in this case, Clare W. Bronfman and Sara R.

Bronfman, have pursued common discovery from the Debtor and other parties. As a result, the

factual allegations and legal basis for the relief requested in this action would be similar if not

identical to those asserted by the Trustee were he to commence his own action, rather than

requesting intervention in this action.

6. There are no competing factors which weigh against permitting intervention in

this action, thus the Trustee's motion to intervene should be granted. Since the Debtor has not

responded in this action, he will not be prejudiced by the Trustee's intervention, and in fact he

will realize certain economies, since he will be a party to only one action, rather than two.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, the Trustee requests permission to intervene in this

action, together with such other relief the Court deems appropriate.

Dated: May 29, 2009

DOUGLAS J. WOLINSKY,

CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE

By:

Douglas J. Wolinsky

Primmer Piper Eggleston & Cramer PC

150 South Champlain Street

P.O. Box 1489

Burlington, Vermont 05402-1489

(802) 864-0880

E00980-7944 / #104

2